WAC 194-37-070 Development of conservation potential and biennial conservation targets. (1) Ten-year potential. By January 1st of each even-numbered year, each utility shall identify its achievable cost-effective conservation potential for the upcoming ten years.

(2) Biennial target. By January 1st of each even-numbered year, each utility shall establish and make public a biennial conservation target. The utility's biennial target shall be no less than its pro rata share of the ten-year potential identified pursuant to subsection (1) of this section.

(3) Each utility must document the methodologies and inputs used in the development of its ten-year potential and biennial target and must document that its ten-year potential and biennial target are consistent with the requirements of RCW 19.285.040(1). Each utility must apply methodologies consistent with the most recently published regional power plan using inputs that reasonably reflect the specific characteristics of the utility and its customers and the general characteristics of the Pacific Northwest power system.

(4) Each utility must establish its ten-year potential and biennial target by action of the utility's governing board, after public notice and opportunity for public comment.

(5) The methodologies used by the NWPCC in its most recently published regional power plan are summarized in this subsection.

(a) **Technical potential.** Determine the amount of conservation that is technically feasible, considering measures and the number of these measures that could physically be installed or implemented, without regard to achievability or cost.

(b) Achievable technical potential. Determine the amount of the conservation technical potential that is available within the planning period, considering barriers to market penetration and the rate at which savings could be acquired.

(c) **Economic achievable potential**. Establish the economic achievable potential, which is the conservation potential that is cost-effective, reliable, and feasible, by comparing the total resource cost of conservation measures to the cost of other resources available to meet expected demand for electricity and capacity. A utility may use either of the following approaches to identify economic achievable potential:

(i) Integrated portfolio approach. A utility may analyze, as a part of its integrated resource plan, the cost-effective potential of conservation resources over a range of potential future outcomes for unknown variables, such as future demand, costs, and resource availability. Economic achievable potential will be based on resource plan that achieves a long-run least-cost and least-risk electric power system considering all power system costs and quantifiable nonenergy costs and benefits.

(ii) Benefit-cost ratio approach. A utility may establish economic achievable potential as those conservation measures or programs that pass a total resource cost test, in which the ratio of total benefits to total costs is one or greater. The benefit-cost calculation must use inputs that incorporate the cost of risks that would otherwise be reflected in an integrated portfolio approach.

(d) **Total resource cost**. In determining economic achievable potential as provided in (c) of this subsection, perform a life-cycle cost analysis of measures or programs to determine the net levelized cost, as described in this subsection: (i) Conduct a total resource cost analysis that assesses all costs and all benefits of conservation measures regardless of who pays the costs or receives the benefits;

(ii) Include the incremental savings and incremental costs of measures and replacement measures where resources or measures have different measure lifetimes;

(iii) Calculate the value of the energy saved based on when it is saved. In performing this calculation, use time differentiated avoided costs to conduct the analysis that determines the financial value of energy saved through conservation;

(iv) Include the increase or decrease in annual or periodic operations and maintenance costs due to conservation measures;

(v) Include avoided energy costs equal to a forecast of regional market prices, which represents the cost of the next increment of available and reliable power supply available to the utility for the life of the energy efficiency measures to which it is compared;

(vi) Include deferred capacity expansion benefits for transmission and distribution systems;

(vii) Include deferred generation benefits consistent with the contribution to system peak capacity of the conservation measure;

(viii) Include the social cost of carbon emissions from avoided nonconservation resources;

(ix) Include a risk mitigation credit to reflect the additional value of conservation, not otherwise accounted for in other inputs, in reducing risk associated with costs of avoided nonconservation resources;

(x) Include all nonenergy impacts that a resource or measure may provide that can be quantified and monetized;

(xi) Include an estimate of program administrative costs;

(xii) Include the cost of financing measures using the capital costs of the entity that is expected to pay for the measure;

(xiii) Discount future costs and benefits at a discount rate equal to the discount rate used by the utility in evaluating nonconservation resources; and

(xiv) Include a ten percent bonus for the energy and capacity benefits of conservation measures as defined in 16 U.S.C. § 839a of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 19.285.080(2). WSR 16-24-011, § 194-37-070, filed 11/28/16, effective 12/29/16. Statutory Authority: RCW 19.285.080. WSR 15-07-002, § 194-37-070, filed 3/6/15, effective 4/6/15; WSR 14-04-015, § 194-37-070, filed 1/24/14, effective 2/24/14. Statutory Authority: RCW 19.285.080(2). WSR 08-07-079, § 194-37-070, filed 3/18/08, effective 4/18/08.]